



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,706	09/19/2003	Jerry Barnes	21982	2839
20551	7590	02/01/2005		
THORPE NORTH & WESTERN, LLP. 8180 SOUTH 700 EAST, SUITE 200 P.O. BOX 1219 SANDY, UT 84070			EXAMINER MRUK, BRIAN P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1751	

DATE MAILED: 02/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

65

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/666,706

Applicant(s)

BARNES, JERRY

Examiner

Brian P Mruk

Art Unit

1751

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 February 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.
 - 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12-29-03
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 2-19 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In instant claim 2, a comma should be inserted after "coconut-based surfactants", an "e" should be added to the end of "alkylbetain", and an "e" should be added to the end of "-aminoxid" for grammatical purposes. Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 3-19 are objected to for being dependent upon claim 2.

2. Claim 5 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The examiner notes that instant claim 5, which ultimately depends from claim 1, recites the identical concentration of surfactant (i.e. 0.01-3%) that is required by claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1751

4. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cummings, U.S. Patent No. 5,750,482.

Cummings, U.S. Patent No. 5,750,482, discloses a glass cleaning composition comprising 1-5% by weight of a low boiling cosolvent, such as isopropyl alcohol (see col. 4, lines 22-29), 0.01-2% by weight of a surfactant, such as sodium dodecyl benzene sulfonate (see col. 5, lines 8-63), 0.01-2% by weight of an acid, such as citric acid, acetic acid, sulfamic acid, hydrochloric acid, phosphoric acid, and sulfuric acid (see col. 7, lines 5-15), a base, such as monoethanolamine, and 0.1-1% by weight of a builder and chelating agent, such as EDTA and sodium citrate (see col. 7, lines 30-49). Specifically, note Examples 1-10. Although Cummings generally discloses a glass cleaning composition containing 0.01-2% by weight of an inorganic acid, such as sulfamic acid, hydrochloric acid, phosphoric acid, and sulfuric acid, the reference does not require such glass cleaning compositions containing this component with sufficient specificity to constitute anticipation.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have formulated a glass cleaning composition, as taught by Cummings, which contained 0.01-2% by weight of an inorganic acid, such as sulfamic acid, hydrochloric acid, phosphoric acid, and sulfuric acid, because such glass cleaning compositions fall within the scope of those taught by Cummings. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have had a reasonable expectation of success, because such a glass cleaning composition containing 0.01-2% by weight of an inorganic acid,

Art Unit: 1751

such as sulfamic acid, hydrochloric acid, phosphoric acid, and sulfuric acid is expressly suggested by the Cummings disclosure and therefore is an obvious formulation.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Mruk whose telephone number is (571) 272-1321. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta, can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

BPM

Brian Mruk
January 25, 2005

Brian P. Mruk
Brian P. Mruk
Primary Examiner
Tech Center 1700